Reserving inter-domain lambda and compute resources across US and Japan

Gigi Karmous-Edwards (MCNC)

Tomohiro Kudoh (AIST)

ECOC 2007 workshop Network for IT September 16th, 2007





Outline

- G-lambda Overview
- Enlightened Computing Overview
- NLR Partnership
- Global Collaboration (G-lambda and Enlightened)
- 3-way Collaboration with PHOSPHORUS
- GLIF Control plane wg Update





G-lambda





- In computing systems, hardware components such as CPUs, memories and storages are "resources".
- Resources are managed by resource managers (c.f. queuing system of computing center), and a user can use them while they are allocated to the user.
- To provide a stable service using "resources" connected to wide area network, network itself should be also considered as a "resource" and bandwidth should be allocated to users appropriately.
- To use network as a resource, an I/F to request bandwidth from users is required.



G-lambda project overview

- Joint project of KDDI R&D labs., NTT, NICT and AIST.
- G-lambda project has been started in December 2004.
- The goal of this project is to define a standard web services interface (GNS-WSI) between Grid resource manager and network resource manager provided by network operators.







The G-lambda Team



G-lambda system architecture



GNS-WSI (Grid Network Service / Web Services Interface)

- Grid Network Service-Web Services Interface
- Interface to realize advance reservation of bandwidth
- Based on the Web Services interface technology
- Can be used for inter-domain coordination
- Polling-based operations
 - Advance reservation of a path between end points
 - Modification of reservation (i.e. reservation time or duration)
 - Query of reservation status
 - Cancellation of reservation
- GNS-WSI2
 - WSRF(Web Services Resource Framework) based interface
 - GT4 (Globus Toolkit 4) Java WS Core http://www.globus.org/toolkit/
 - 2-phase commit



An example XML exchanged through GNS-WSI



Enlightened Computing





Enlightened Overview

Goals and Missions

- Dynamic and <u>Adaptive</u> on-demand and advanced reservation of end-to-end networking resources -
- Identification of functions and interactions between the control plane, management plane, and Grid middleware. Intelligent provisioning of lightpaths via middleware interactions with control plane protocols.
- To design and develop a Grid framework that provides applications/end-users to request computing and network resources in both a coordinated and reliable means. Create a virtualized set of resources dynamically.
- Determine how to abstract network resource information and how to distribute the network intelligence among the network control plane, management plane, and the Grid middleware.
- Feed near-real-time network state information to the Grid Resource Broker for optimized coordination and co-scheduling decisions

Distributed Transaction Problem: ensure either the entire group of resources are successfully scheduled or none at all: *Highly-Available Robust Co-Scheduler* (HARC) open source, developed by CCT, LSU.

R&D challenges

- The need to standardize the interfaces among Grid middleware and the network.
- Coordination and Co-scheduling of Network resources with other Grid resources (CPU, databases, sensors, instruments)
- Discovery, Monitoring, Adaptation system-level feedback control
- Extended L1/2 network services
 - On-demand vs. In-advance
 - Unicast, multicast, and anycast
- Control, management and middleware plane integration
 - GMPLS networking
 - Reconfiguration and re-optimization
 - Application controlled networking via the Grid middleware
- Testbed enabling dynamic service provisioning
 - GMPLS enabled PXC
 - E-NNI





The Enlightened Team







GMPLS Testbed



GMPLS E-NNI Demonstration

- Collaborative effort between NICT, NTT, KDDI Research and Enlightened Computing
 - Goal: to investigate potential for interdomain provisioning
- SC06 demonstrated single-vendor interoperation between JGN II North (KDDI Research) and Enlightened
- December 2006 tested three domain multi-vendor provisioning between JGN II South (NTT) and Enlightened, with JGN II North as transit domain.
- Configuration
 - Border nodes at Starlight, Otemachi-1(North) and Otemachi-2 (South)
 - Static external routes at border nodes point to other domain prefixes



Slide: John Moore





HARC

Highly Available Robust Coallocator (LSU, Jon Maclaren)

- Extensible, open-sourced co-allocation system
- Can already reserve:
 - Time on supercomputers (advance reservation), and
 - Dedicated paths on GMPLS-based networks with simple topologies
- Uses 2-Phase Commit to atomically reserve multiple resources



An HD-class example





LSU's Thomas Sterling HD-class on HPC



•1.5 Gbs uncompressed stream each way

•Four Institutions participating, including (LSU, LaTech, University of Arkansas, Masatyk University (Czech Republic), MCNC/NCSU).

•Used Enlightened Middleware to schedule and set-up lightpaths for every Tuesday and Thursday session. (still need more work to make robust)





NLR Partnership





NLR Partnership

- Establish a formal partnership based on a win-win model.
- Share knowledge, experience, and resources where appropriate.
- Create a working an NLR working group
 - We would like to have membership from several national and international projects
 - Work with NLR Research Council for input on requirements on the type of services NLR should provide to its constituents
 - Work with the international community on interconnecting NLR services with other NRENs
 - Develop a control plane and Grid middleware strategy with NLR to better serve the community
 - Utilize existing middleware and control plane software from Enlightened and other projects to help NLR users and Apps





Enlightened and G-lambda Collaboration





Japan's G-Lambda & EnLIGHTened collaboration



Collaboration between G-lambda and Enlightened



What we achieved

- Simultaneous in-advance reservation of bandwidth between the US and Japan, and computing resources in the US and Japan
- World's first inter-domain coordination of resource mangers for in-advance reservation
 - Resource managers have different I/F and are independently developed
- "Automated" interoperability between network and computing resources in two countries' grid computing research testbeds









G-lambda/Enlightened middleware coordination diagram







Enlightened: Visualization of remote data

- Data generated by remote simulation
- -Here : a black hole simulation
- •Need to explore and visualize the dataset
- Enhanced Amira
 visualization system to
 take advantage of optical
 networks





Enlightened: Distributed data server

- Data available at multiple sites
- Distribution can be beneficial (parallelism, caching options, executing simple operations)
- •A distributed data server (using the optical networks) can be faster than the local disk





G-lambda: QM/MD simulation

- Surveying a chemical reaction path by Nudged Elastic Band method
 - calculating system configurations during the reaction in







👙 Current Status						🖕 Reserva 📃 🗖	×
			And the second s	the summer	The Post of the Post	/C=JP/0=AIST /0=MCNC/0U=	GT GC
FUK 👮	KMF		To first	and the stand		/C=JP/0=AIST /O=MCNC/0U=	
		IKB			CHI		
KAN 🗙			*****			k	
T IX		XIN		X1U	x x 🔽		
		×					
25 //		X1S					
OSA				LAI		E	
X		AKB					
				BT1 BT2	BT3		>
					- Marine I and a		
🎒 Time Table (Clusters	2			😅 lime lable (Networks	;)		
≝y∣ıme lable (Clusters	20:52	20:54	20	Ime Table (Networks	20:52	20:54 2	20 20
TKB (16.0)	20:52	20:54	20 20	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0)	20:52	20:54 2	20
TKB (16.0)	20:52	20:54	- L ×	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0)	20:52	20:54 2	20
TKB (16.0)	20:52	20:54	- L X	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0)	20:52	20:54 2	20
TKB (16.0)	20:52	20:54	- L X	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0)	20:52	20:54 2	20
 Fime Table (Clusters TKB (16.0) AKB (16.0) FUK (2.0) FUK (2.0) FUK (2.0) 	20:52	20:54	 ■ ■	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) AKB-OSA (1.0)	20:52	20:54 2	20
 Fime Table (Clusters) TKB (16.0) AKB (16.0) FUK (2.0) KAN (2.0) OSA (3.0) KHN (5.0) 	20:52	20:54	 ■ ■	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-KHN (1.0) AKB-KHN (1.0)	20:52	20:54 2	
TKB (16.0) AKB (16.0) FUK (2.0) KAN (2.0) OSA (3.0) KHN (5.0) LA1 (8.0)	20:52	20:54	■ ■ ■ ■	TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-KHN (1.0) AKB-X1S (3.0) AKB-X2S (1.0) OSA-KHN (1.0)	20:52	20:54 2	
 Time Table (Clusters TKB (16.0) AKB (16.0) FUK (2.0) KAN (2.0) OSA (3.0) KHN (5.0) LA1 (8.0) RA1 (6.0) 	20:52	20:54		TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X2N (1.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-KHN (1.0) OSA-KHN (1.0) OSA-KHN (1.0)	20:52	20:54 2	
 Time Table (Clusters TKB (16.0) AKB (16.0) FUK (2.0) KAN (2.0) OSA (3.0) KHN (5.0) LA1 (8.0) RA1 (6.0) 	20:52	20:54		TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-KHN (1.0) OSA-KHN (1.0) OSA-KHN (1.0) OSA-X1S (1.0) OSA-X2S (2.0) KHN-X1S (1.0)	20:52	20:54 2	
TKB (16.0) AKB (16.0) FUK (2.0) KAN (2.0) OSA (3.0) KHN (5.0) LA1 (8.0) RA1 (6.0) BT2 (16.0)	20:52	20:54		TKB-KAN (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-FUK (2.0) TKB-X1N (1.0) TKB-X2N (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-FUK (2.0) KAN-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X1N (2.0) FUK-X2N (2.0) KAN-X2N (1.0) AKB-OSA (1.0) AKB-CSA (1.0) AKB-X1S (3.0) AKB-X1S (3.0) AKB-X2S (1.0) OSA-X1S (1.0) OSA-X2S (2.0) KHN-X1S (1.0) RA1-BT2 (1.0)	20:52	20:54 2	

Three-Way collaboration G-lambda, PHOSPHOUS, EnLIGHTened





Three- Way Collaboration



Collaboration of Three Continents meeting (C3C)







Who Are We and Why Are We Here?

Three Projects with similar Goals and mission



- We are collaborating because Grid is all about sharing of resources -and a Global Grid crosses all types of boundaries:
 - Administrative
 - Technological
 - Cultural
 - Funding Agencies





Our challenges... agree on protocols and APIs

- Currently we have several :
- Enlightened: XML + HTTP(S)
- G-lambda: XML + HTTP(S) + SOAP + WSRF
- Viola: XML + HTTP(S) + SOAP + WSRF + WS-Agreement
 - How do we work towards an API for all of us to agree to implement to?
 - Each team will have different implementations of middleware
 - Each team will have different control for heterogeneous network technologies





Work Plan and Outcomes

- Work Plan and start of schedule:
 - Focus teams, middleware, testbeds, etc.
 - Conf call Schedule
 - Milestones
 - Next opportunity for F2F meeting
- Outcomes of this effort
 - Development of Two APIs agreed to
 - Integrated infrastructure
 - Available resources and middleware for advanced apps
 - WIKI site for C3C
 - Shared documents for GLIF and OGF, maybe IETF





GLIF





GLIF Control Plane and Grid Middleware Integration wg

- 1. Work with GLIF Tech group top establish what are GLIF resources (GOLEs) done
- 2. Defined Network Elements in RDF done
- 3. Software that reads RDF description done
- 4. Need to write to Google MAP APIs to draw resources on a global bases done

Need to work on

- Mechanisms to publish resource information
- APIs for requesting resources from NRMs (see next slide)
- So far the GLIF community has been about production resources
- Now introduce research testbeds , ie. Enlightened with policy
- Policy needs to be defined in human language then in machine





